

## CONNECTICUT

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 00 Appalachian NST                | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 05 Weir Farm NHS                  | 762                    | 775                | 8                               | -3                            | 780                 |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## CONNECTICUT

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

**Type of Project**

Upper Housatonic River Valley

Ongoing Study

### LAND ACQUISITION

None

### CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

### PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$618

### STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,292

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

**DELAWARE**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$436

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$1,386  
(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## MAINE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 01,02 Acadia NP                   | 4,207                  | 5,636              | 87                              | -37                           | 5,686               |
| 00 Appalachian NST                | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 02 Saint Croix Island IHS         | 61                     | 61                 | 0                               | 0                             | 61                  |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## MAINE

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

| <u>Park Area</u> | <u>Type of Project</u>            | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Acadia NP        | Rehab Carriage Road bridges       | \$3,351      |
| Acadia NP        | Upgrade utilities and campgrounds | \$5,171      |

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

| <u>Park Area</u> | <u>Project Title</u>                         | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------|--|--------------|
| Acadia NP        | Reconstruct Duckbrook Road                   | \$570        |
| Acadia NP        | Alternate trans. Infrastructure improvements | \$482        |

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$600

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,430

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

National Park Service

**PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 790                    |
| <b>Funding FY:</b>            | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|  |   |                     |
|--|---|---------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Carriage Road Bridges |   |                     |
| <b>Project No:</b> 28070                                 | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Acadia National Park |                     |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast                                 | <b>Congressional District:</b> 02               | <b>State:</b> Maine |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This package will rehabilitate the historic granite carriage road bridges. It will correct drainage and waterproofing problems of 17 concrete arched stone faced bridges and 12 steel stringer bridges by re-pointing stone work, establishing a below grade, watertight, drained surface to carry water away from the bridge structure, and removing years of water-borne deposits. The smaller steel stringer bridges will be repaired or replaced.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Significant damage is currently occurring to the carriage road bridges. Three small bridges have been closed to horse and vehicle traffic due to advanced corrosion of the steel beams. Parapet stones on the Stanley Brook Bridge are loose and in danger of falling to the road below. All of the bridges have been saturated by water and are exhibiting cracks, open joints, and water borne deposits. This package will economically repair the damage and rehabilitate the structures to prevent further damage. Without rehabilitation, damage will continue. The need for rehabilitation will become a need for reconstruction. Costs will rise exponentially to the point where it will not be possible to preserve the bridges. The 1994 "Historic Bridge Reconnaissance Survey" identified immediate restoration and repair measures needed to stop accelerating deterioration and to protect the structural integrity of the structures.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 30% Critical Health or Safety Deferred                | % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance   |
| 0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      | % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 70% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | % Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement   |   |

|   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| <b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Required:</b> YES: NO: x | <b>Total Project Score:</b> 790 |
|---|---------------------------------|

**Project Costs and Status**

|                               |              |     |   |              |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|---|--------------|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> |              |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b>   |              |
| Deferred Maintenance Work :   | \$ 3351000   | 100 | Appropriated to Date:   | \$ 0         |
| Capital Improvement Work:     | \$           |     | Requested in FY 2003 Budget:  | \$ 3,351,000 |
| Total Project Estimate:       | \$ 3351000   | 100 | Required to Complete Project:   | \$ 0         |
| Class of Estimate:            | C            |     | Project Total:  | \$ 3,351,000 |
| Estimate Good Until:          | 09/30/02     |     | <b>Project Data Sheet</b><br>Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/2002                   |              |
| <b>Dates:</b>                 | <b>Sch'd</b> |     |   |              |
| (qtr/yy)                      |              |     |   |              |
| Construction Start/Award      | 1 / 2003     |     | <b>Unchanged Since</b><br><b>Departmental</b><br><b>Approval:</b><br>YES: x NO: |              |
| Project Complete:             | 4 / 2003     |     |   |              |

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 550                    |
| <b>Funding FY:</b>            | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|   |   |                     |
|---|---|---------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Upgrade Utilities and Campgrounds * |   |                     |
| <b>Project No:</b> 14867                                  | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Acadia National Park |                     |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast                                  | <b>Congressional District:</b> 02               | <b>State:</b> Maine |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project is intended to mitigate a very high health and safety and resource protection deficiency in the National Park System. When completed, it will rehabilitate historic structures before irreparable damage is done, before historic fabric is lost, and before costly reconstruction is required. The structures most at risk from water damage, the historic Seawall Campground restrooms in Loop B and C, require re-painting annually because of water damage. They will get new roofing and siding to shed rain and enhanced interior ventilation to preserve the interiors. The Pretty Marsh shelters are showing rot problems at exposed log ends and roof. Without this project, the structures will continue to deteriorate.

**Project Need/Benefit:** This package addresses the most important existing infrastructure deficiencies - utilities, restrooms, campgrounds - needed to provide basic visitor services to primary visitor use sites. Without this package, it will be necessary to continue closing visitor use facilities; employees and visitors will be placed at risk; cultural and natural resource degradation will continue. Seawall and Blackwoods Campgrounds are the only overnight facilities in the park. Sand Beach is the only guarded salt water beach in the area and is extremely popular. Thompson Island Information Center provides the initial visitor contact for park and commercial services. Thompson Island and Bear Brook picnic areas are heavily used while Pretty Marsh picnic area provides a more rustic and traditional experience. Seawall Campground serves about 80,000 people per year. Estimated use in season at other areas is 500 per day at Thompson Island, 2,000 per day at Sand Beach, and 500 per day at other picnic areas.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 10% Critical Health or Safety Deferred                | 60% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  |
| 0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      | 0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 30% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 0% Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement   |  |

|  |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Capital Asset Planning 300B Required: YES: NO: x | <b>Total Project Score: 550</b> |
|--|---------------------------------|

**Project Costs and Status**

|                               |            |     |                                  |    |                 |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----|----------------------------------|----|-----------------|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> |            |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b>  |    |                 |
| Deferred Maintenance Work :   | \$ 5171000 | 100 | Appropriated to Date:            | \$ | 0               |
| Capital Improvement Work:     | \$         |     | Requested in FY 2003 Budget:     | \$ | 5,171,000       |
| Total Project Estimate:       | \$ 5171000 | 100 | Required to Complete Project:    | \$ | 0               |
| Class of Estimate:            | C          |     | Project Total:                   | \$ | 5,171,000       |
| Estimate Good Until:          |            |     |                                  |    |                 |
| Dates:                        | Sch'd      |     |                                  |    |                 |
| (qtr/yy)                      |            |     |                                  |    |                 |
| Construction Start/Award      | 1 / 2003   |     | Project Data Sheet               |    | Unchanged Since |
| Project Complete:             | 4 / 2003   |     | Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/2002 |    | Departmental    |
|                               |            |     |                                  |    | Approval:       |
|                               |            |     |                                  |    | YES: NO: x      |

## MARYLAND (NER)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units    | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                      | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 01 Assateague Island NS              | 3,142                  | 3,220              | 52                              | -22                           | 3,250               |
| 03 Fort McHenry NM & Historic Shrine | 1,609                  | 1,640              | 21                              | -9                            | 1,652               |
| 02 Hampton NHS                       | 651                    | 664                | 8                               | 297                           | 969                 |
| 05 Thomas Stone NHS                  | 589                    | 596                | 5                               | -2                            | 599                 |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.



## **MARYLAND**

### **Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park; District of Columbia, Maryland and West Virginia**

#### **\$674,000 to Protect Park Resources and Visitors**

Funding is requested to expand the park's visitor and resource protection program. Urbanization of surrounding areas has created an urgent need for additional law enforcement capability to combat increasing threats to the park's 1,275 historic structures, 220 archeological sites, and unique natural and cultural resources. Incidents detected annually include encroachments, disturbances to archeological sites, vandalism, poaching, and dumping. Funding would be used to increase patrol frequency of park areas, including river patrols. Increased patrols would improve visitor safety and resource protection.

### **Hampton National Historic Site, Maryland**

#### **\$300,000 to Increase Resource Protection and Visitor Services Programs**

Funding is requested to protect and maintain 45 historic structures; historic gardens, 62 acres of designed cultural landscape, and museum objects valued at over \$15 million. A number of classified structures are unsafe due to rotting floors, inadequate support, and infestations caused by animals and mold. Funding would provide the ability to inventory and monitor the resources, and to repair and maintain roads and trails. In addition, funding would allow the park to increase its support of partnership activities, special park uses and events. These improvements would allow the park to reach a more diverse audience, and increase visitor satisfaction.

### **Monocacy National Battlefield, Maryland**

#### **\$121,000 to Provide Cultural Resource Management for New Area**

Funding is requested to establish a cultural resource management program. Since its opening in 1991, the park has doubled in size. The creation of a cultural resource management program would ensure the continued preservation of the battlefield. Preservation would be accomplished by completing and updating cultural resource inventories, monitoring resource conditions, stabilizing and repairing historic structures, and improving the management of a growing museum collection. The cultural management program would preserve and protect thirty historic structures and numerous archeological sites.

#### **\$92,000 to Enhance Natural Resources Management**

Funding is requested to enhance natural resource management. Funding would enable the park to manage an extensive number of exotic and invasive species, monitor known endangered plants, and complete its inventory of plants and animals. The battlefield would establish effective management strategies for invasive plants, white-tailed deer, the reduction of resource degradation, and monitoring of water quality. An enhanced program would also enable the park to increase watershed protection activities and riparian buffer zone management in support of Chesapeake Bay preservation initiatives. All identified natural resource data sets would be acquired and exotic vegetation controlled more effectively.

**MARYLAND (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

| <u>Park Area</u>     | <u>Type of Project</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Chesapeake Bay Sites | Ongoing Study          |

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

| <u>Park Area</u>     | <u>Project Title</u>     | <u>Funds</u> |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Assateague Island NS | Overlay North Beach Road | \$2,460      |

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$751

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,908

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

Program or Park Area: **Piscataway Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY03): Priority No. 15

Location: Across Potomac River from Mount Vernon.

State/County/Congressional District: State of Maryland/Charles and Prince George's Counties/Congressional District No. 5

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: None. The over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.097 million

| Date                | Acres | Total Amount |
|---------------------|-------|--------------|
| FY 2003 Request     | 21    | <b>\$500</b> |
| Future Funding Need | 0     | 0            |

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential

Description: Piscataway Park was established by Congress on October 4, 1961, and through subsequent amendments to the Act of that date. The purpose of the park is to preserve lands in the State of Maryland comprising the principal viewshed from the Mount Vernon Estate and Fort Washington across the Potomac River. Such preservation will ensure the natural beauty of such land as it existed at the time of the construction and active use of Mount Vernon Mansion and Fort Washington.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park preserves the tranquil view from Mount Vernon of the Maryland shore of the Potomac.

Threat: An analysis completed in 1991 revealed that 98 percent of the viewshed outside the boundaries of Piscataway Park could be protected by local low density zoning which currently controls building heights. The analysis also revealed that several parcels of land, if developed in any way under existing zoning regulations, would intrude on this otherwise completely protected viewshed.

Need: The tract to be acquired is located directly across the Potomac River from Mount Vernon. Though the United States presently owns a scenic easement interest in the property, the easement does not preclude further subdivision. Acquisition of the remaining interest is necessary to prevent further development that would detract from the historic viewshed. *Acquisition of this land will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources and to Goal IIa Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: Several non-profit groups, including the Mount Vernon Ladies Association, the Trust for Public Lands, The National Park Trust, and The Accokeek Foundation have expressed a willingness to assist with this acquisition. The owners have indicated willingness to consider sale of the property. The local community, non-profit groups, and members of the Maryland Congressional delegation have endorsed efforts by the National Park Service to acquire and protect this property.

## MASSACHUSETTS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 10 Adams NHP                      | 2,275                  | 2,508              | 28                              | -12                           | 2,524               |
| 00 Appalachian NST                | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 09 Boston African American NHS    | 702                    | 711                | 7                               | -3                            | 715                 |
| 09,10 Boston Harbor Islands NRA   | 732                    | 740                | 7                               | -3                            | 744                 |
| 08 Boston NHP                     | 6,700                  | 6,814              | 74                              | 1,168                         | 8,056               |
| 10 Cape Cod NS                    | 5,687                  | 5,811              | 84                              | -36                           | 5,859               |
| 04 Frederick Law Olmsted NHS      | 2,046                  | 2,102              | 38                              | -16                           | 2,124               |
| 04 John F Kennedy NHS             | 309                    | 309                | 0                               | 0                             | 309                 |
| 08 Longfellow NHS                 | 791                    | 791                | 0                               | 0                             | 791                 |
| 05 Lowell NHP                     | 8,029                  | 8,362              | 80                              | 166                           | 8,608               |
| 05,07 Minute Man NHP              | 2,368                  | 2,550              | 26                              | -11                           | 2,565               |
| 04 New Bedford Whaling NHP        | 527                    | 632                | 5                               | -2                            | 635                 |
| 06 Salem Maritime NHS             | 1,828                  | 1,864              | 22                              | -10                           | 1,876               |
| 06 Saugus Iron Works NHS          | 825                    | 839                | 8                               | -4                            | 843                 |
| 02 Springfield Armory NHS         | 926                    | 941                | 12                              | -5                            | 948                 |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **MASSACHUSETTS**

### **Boston National Historical Park, Massachusetts (Counter-Terrorism)**

#### **\$1,200,000 to Enhance Security and Law Enforcement Program**

Funding is requested to provide an expanded law enforcement presence in response to the recent terrorism attacks against the United States. A portion of the park is co-located with the Charleston Navy Base. The ship *USS Constitution*, a national icon of US military achievement, is still commissioned and is berthed at Boston National Historical Park. Due to continued heightened threat condition levels, the US Navy requires the Park to provide an increased level of law enforcement support. Funding would be used to increase patrols and enhance training and equipment. This request would help the NPS to meet its obligations under its interagency agreement with the Navy to provide the sole law enforcement protection at this site, including military and civilian employees.

### **Lowell National Historical Park, Massachusetts**

#### **\$200,000 to Improve Outreach and Partnerships Programs in Northeast Region Parks**

Funding is requested to improve educational outreach provided by the Lowell National Historical Cooperative Park Education Unit. A recent survey reveals uneven quality in park education programs, weak connections with school curricula and diverse student groups, lack of communication with schools, and a critical need for employee training in developing education plans and partnerships. Funding would be used to plan and deliver new model programs, provide on-site education evaluations, offer leadership training in delivery systems, assist in preparing grant proposals, and market education programs to new audiences. The Northeast Region has an opportunity to reach 24 percent of the Nation's youth through increased programming. Increasing outreach efforts would assist in promoting our national parks and resources to the student population.

## MASSACHUSETTS

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

**Park Area**

**Project Title**

**Funds**

Cape Cod NS

Overlay cable road

\$585

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$784

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$3,299

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## NEW JERSEY

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units       | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 00 Appalachian NST                      | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 05 Delaware Water Gap NRA               | 7,988                  | 8,124              | 99                              | -42                           | 8,181               |
| 08,10 Edison NHS                        | 1,999                  | 2,033              | 22                              | -10                           | 2,045               |
| 06 Gateway NRA                          | 22,288                 | 20,562             | 263                             | -112                          | 20,713              |
| 11 Morristown NHP                       | 2,024                  | 2,129              | 21                              | -9                            | 2,141               |
| 06,13 National Parks of New York Harbor | 0                      | 248                | 0                               | 302                           | 550                 |
| 13 Statue of Liberty NM & Ellis Island  | 12,039                 | 9,972              | 82                              | 1,322                         | 11,376              |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **NEW JERSEY**

### **National Parks of New York Harbor; New York and New Jersey**

#### **\$302,000 to Establish Operations for a New Office**

Funding is requested to continue establishment of the National Parks of New York Harbor office. This office has been established to take advantage of the opportunities that exist in the New York metropolitan area to enhance and improve the overall operations of Gateway, Statue of Liberty/Ellis Island, Manhattan Sites, and Lower East Side Tenement. Funding would be used to coordinate strategic planning, partnership and education programs, and communication activities for these sites, and to undertake and be responsible for planning and development of new areas such as Governors Island National Monument and the African Burial Ground National Historic Landmark. This office represents the interests of the National Park Service to the New York/New Jersey community at large and with organizations that have common interests and agendas of the National Park Service. It coordinates National Park Service activities with those of federal, state, local, and private groups and organizations to improve and raise the profile of and support for National Park Service operations in the metropolitan area.

### **Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island; New Jersey and New York (Counter-Terrorism)**

#### **\$1,357,000 to Increase Security and Operate Communications Center**

Funding is requested to improve physical security and radio communications for Liberty and Ellis Islands. The Statue of Liberty is a highly visible landmark in metropolitan New York City. Its significance is worldwide and it attracts millions of international and domestic visitors, making it vulnerable to attack by virtually any group or individual wishing to damage America's image. Law enforcement at the Statue of Liberty is provided by the U.S. Park Police. This funding would be used to fulfill a need, which was identified as part of an independent vulnerability assessment, to provide increased NPS presence on the island, not outfitted as law enforcement, but trained in emergency and other visitor services, in observing and working with people. Prior to September 11, 2001, visitors were screened when they reached Liberty Island. Funding would be used to operate off-site screening facilities in New York and New Jersey and to enhance security at Ellis Island. Funding would also be used to operate the communications center. This park communications center coordinates critical life and resource protection information for Liberty and Ellis Islands with area emergency response agencies. Additional security for these sites is proposed in an increase for the United State Park Police.



**NEW JERSEY**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

| <u>Park Area</u>       | <u>Remarks</u> | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Delaware Water Gap NRA | 461 acres      | \$5,000      |

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

| <u>Park Area</u> | <u>Project Title</u>     | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Gateway NRA      | Elevate Hartshorne Drive | \$2,500      |

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$772

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$4,056

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

---

Program or Park Area: **Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2003): Priority No. 10

Location: In the New Jersey-New York Watershed

States/Counties/Congressional Districts:

State of New Jersey/Sussex and Warren Counties/ Congressional District No. 5

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania/Monroe, Northampton and Pike Counties/Congressional District Nos. 10, 15

Land Acquisition Limitation Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.060 million

| Date                | Acres | Total Amount   |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|
| FY 2003 Request     | 461   | <b>\$5,000</b> |
| Future Funding Need | 1,419 | \$7,000        |

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential

Description: Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area was authorized September 1, 1965, to provide outdoor recreational use of the area and to preserve the scenic, scientific, and historic features contributing to public enjoyment of such lands.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: Located within easy driving distance of a population estimated at 30 million, Delaware Water Gap provides needed open space and recreational opportunities such as swimming, fishing, boating, camping, picnicking, and hiking. Within the boundary, there are over 70 structures on or determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.

Threat: Although acquisition will generally follow the priorities established in the area's land protection plan, first consideration will continue to be the acquisition of hardship tracts as required by law. The prevention of imminent development is the second protection priority due to the proximity of the area to major urban centers.

Need: Funds totaling \$5,000,000 are needed in fiscal year 2003 to acquire a 461.03-acre tract containing a golf course, an historic inn, and guest cottages. The property has been owned by the same family for over 150 years. The property features rolling, groomed lawn and naturalized areas, with frontage on two roads. A road on the property leads to a popular scenic overlook known as "The Knob". During Congressional hearings on the enabling legislation for the national recreation area, Congress declared its intent that, so long as the property was used as a golf course and the surrounding land preserved as open space, the United States would make no attempt to acquire the property. The elderly owners now need to sell and would prefer to see the property acquired by the United States to benefit the national recreation area. Because of intense development occurring in the surrounding area, Federal acquisition and protection of the tracts is a high priority of the National Park Service. *Acquisition of these lands will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources and to Goal IIa Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

### **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The Service has maintained regular contact with the landowner. The local community, aware of the intense development occurring in the area, strongly supports acquisition of this unique property by the National Park Service.

## NEW YORK

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units          | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|  | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 00 Appalachian NST                         | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 22 Eleanor Roosevelt NHS                   | 571                    | 580                | 5                               | -2                            | 583                 |
| 01,02 Fire Island NS                       | 3,445                  | 3,504              | 41                              | -18                           | 3,527               |
| 23 Fort Stanwix NM                         | 715                    | 1,295              | 9                               | -4                            | 1,300               |
| 09,13 Gateway NRA                          | 22,288                 | 20,562             | 263                             | -112                          | 20,713              |
| 22 Home of Franklin D Roosevelt NHS        | 2,248                  | 2,282              | 27                              | -11                           | 2,298               |
| 08 Manhattan Sites (Hqtrs)                 | 754                    | 773                | 14                              | -7                            | 780                 |
| 08 Castle Clinton NM                       | 560                    | 567                | 7                               | -3                            | 571                 |
| 08 Federal Hall Natl Memorial              | 412                    | 414                | 1                               | -1                            | 414                 |
| 08 General Grant Natl Memorial             | 602                    | 604                | 5                               | -2                            | 607                 |
| 15 Hamilton Grange Natl Memorial           | 154                    | 155                | 1                               | -1                            | 155                 |
| 17 Saint Paul's Church NHS                 | 291                    | 291                | 0                               | 0                             | 291                 |
| 14 Theodore Roosevelt Birthplace NHS       | 222                    | 223                | 1                               | -1                            | 223                 |
| 22 Martin Van Buren NHS                    | 800                    | 815                | 10                              | 246                           | 1,071               |
| 08,09,13 National Parks of New York Harbor | 0                      | 248                | 0                               | 302                           | 550                 |
| 03 Sagamore Hill NHS                       | 970                    | 992                | 14                              | -6                            | 1,000               |
| 22 Saratoga NHP                            | 1,407                  | 1,680              | 18                              | -8                            | 1,690               |
| 08 Statue of Liberty NM & Ellis Island     | 12,039                 | 9,972              | 82                              | 1,322                         | 11,376              |
| 30 Theodore Roosevelt Inaugural NHS        | 213                    | 213                | 0                               | 0                             | 213                 |
| 20 Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River       | 2,622                  | 2,658              | 24                              | -11                           | 2,671               |
| 22 Vanderbilt Mansion NHS                  | 1,090                  | 1,112              | 16                              | -7                            | 1,121               |
| 53 Women's Rights NHP                      | 1,305                  | 1,322              | 12                              | -5                            | 1,329               |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **NEW YORK**

### **Martin Van Buren National Historic Site, New York**

#### **\$250,000 to Protect President's Home, Collections and Landscapes**

Funding is requested to support increased workload resulting from a 126 acre or 620 percent expansion of managed lands. The new lands require the development and management of entirely new operational programs. The park is transitioning from operating only a historic house museum to also managing recreational facilities, wildlife, wetlands, and agricultural leases. Funds would provide routine maintenance of the President Van Buren's home, grounds, and farm. Funding would be used to augment interpretive and educational programs to incorporate the new resources, as well as, fulfill the needs of increased visitation. This request would increase visitor understanding of the life and times of President Van Buren, while protecting natural and cultural resources.

### **National Parks of New York Harbor; New York and New Jersey**

#### **\$302,000 to Establish Operations for a New Office**

Funding is requested to continue establishment of the National Parks of New York Harbor office. This office has been established to take advantage of the opportunities that exist in the New York metropolitan area to enhance and improve the overall operations of Gateway, Statue of Liberty/Ellis Island, Manhattan Sites, and Lower East Side Tenement. Funding would be used to coordinate strategic planning, partnership and education programs, and communication activities for these sites, and to undertake and be responsible for planning and development of new areas such as Governors Island National Monument and the African Burial Ground National Historic Landmark. This office represents the interests of the National Park Service to the New York/New Jersey community at large and with organizations that have common interests and agendas of the National Park Service. It coordinates National Park Service activities with those of federal, state, local, and private groups and organizations to improve and raise the profile of and support for National Park Service operations in the metropolitan area.

### **Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island; New Jersey and New York (Counter-Terrorism)**

#### **\$1,357,000 to Increase Security and Operate Communications Center**

Funding is requested to improve physical security and radio communications for Liberty and Ellis Islands. The Statue of Liberty is a highly visible landmark in metropolitan New York City. Its significance is worldwide and it attracts millions of international and domestic visitors, making it vulnerable to attack by virtually any group or individual wishing to damage America's image. Law enforcement at the Statue of Liberty is provided by the U.S. Park Police. This funding would be used to fulfill a need, which was identified as part of an independent vulnerability assessment, to provide increased NPS presence on the island, not outfitted as law enforcement, but trained in emergency and other visitor services, in observing and working with people. Prior to September 11, 2001, visitors were screened when they reached Liberty Island. Funding would be used to operate off-site screening facilities in New York and New Jersey and to enhance security at Ellis Island. Funding would also be used to operate the communications center. This park communications center coordinates critical life and resource protection information for Liberty and Ellis Islands with area emergency response agencies. Additional security for these sites is proposed in an increase for the United State Park Police.

## NEW YORK

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

| <u>Park Area</u>     | <u>Type of Project</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Governors Island NM  | Potential New Start    |
| Harriet Tubman Sites | Ongoing Study          |
| Kate Mullaney House  | Ongoing Study          |
| Thomas Cole NHS      | Ongoing Study          |

### LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

| <u>Park Area</u>   | <u>Type of Project</u>                   | <u>Funds</u> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|
| Fort Stanwix NM    | Collection mgmt & education center       | \$3,239      |
| Gateway NRA        | Improve facilities at Jamaica Bay Refuge | \$3,299      |
| General Grant NMem | Rehab General Grant's tomb               | \$1,840      |

### PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$1,148

### STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,390

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 350                    |
| <b>Planned Funding FY:</b>    | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|   |   |                        |
|---|---|------------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Construct Cultural Resource Preservation And Education Facility |   |                        |
| <b>Project No:</b> 19822  | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Fort Stanwix National Monument |                        |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast  | <b>Congressional District:</b> 23                         | <b>State:</b> New York |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Funds for this project were appropriated from FY1999 to FY2001. Funds from this project were reprogrammed in November 2001 for NPS environmental impact statement work. The funds requested here would replenish the funds reprogrammed and allow the completion of this project. This package proposes to construct a 20,000 square foot facility to provide for storage and workspace for the large museum collection, a visitor orientation and education space, and administrative work space. Critical elements include a controlled environment for the museum collection, exhibits, orientation movie, and space to accommodate basic visitor services including rest rooms, visitor orientation, and public meetings.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The 1967 Master Plan identified the need for a separate facility for visitor orientation and education. It did not identify an area to house and work with the over 405,000 objects in the museum collection. Because of lack of funding, this facility was not constructed. Instead reconstructed fort buildings were remodeled to provide for these functions. This was a poor solution as the large museum collection is stored in the tunnels of the fort with limited and inadequate environmental controls resulting in damage to the artifacts. Having a visitor orientation facility inside the fort defeats the purpose of the reconstruction and living history program. The current visitor facility is poorly designed, not accessible and adds little to the visitor experience and understanding. The fort is currently closed during the three winter months. A new facility as envisioned in the 1967 Master Plan would resolve these serious problems. The park is a leader in heritage preservation and education throughout upstate New York with involvement in many national, regional, and local initiatives and efforts. The new facility would support these and other partners to better coordinate historic preservation and education efforts. The regional community has been very supportive and over \$1,000,000 in grants have been received for the facility as of this date.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred                | 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance   |
| 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement     | 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 50 % Other Capital Improvement              |
| 50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement |   |

|  |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| <b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: x | <b>Total Project Score:</b> 350 |
|--|---------------------------------|

***Project Costs and Status***

|                                  |            |     |   |  |  |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----|---|--|--|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>    |            |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b>           |  |  |
|                                  | \$'s       | %   |   |  |  |
| Deferred Maintenance Work        | \$ 0       | 0   | Appropriated to Date: \$ 0                |  |  |
| Capital Improvement Work:        | \$ 3239000 | 100 | Requested in FY 2003 Budget: \$ 3,239,000 |  |  |
| Total Project Estimate:          | \$ 3239000 | 100 | Required to Complete Project: \$ 0        |  |  |
| Class of Estimate: C             |            |     | Project Total: \$ 3,239,000               |  |  |
| Estimate Good Until: 09/30/02    |            |     |   |  |  |
| <b>Dates:</b> <u>Sch'd</u>       |            |     | <b>Unchanged Since</b>                    |  |  |
| (qtr/yy)                         |            |     | <b>Departmental</b>                       |  |  |
| Construction Start/Award 1 /2003 |            |     | <b>Approval:</b>                          |  |  |
| Project Complete: 4 /2003        |            |     | YES: x NO:                                |  |  |
|                                  |            |     | <b>Project Data Sheet</b>                 |  |  |
|                                  |            |     | Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02            |  |  |

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 850                    |
| <b>Funding FY:</b>            | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|   |   |                        |
|---|---|------------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Improve Facilities At The Jamaica Bay Wildlife Refuge |   |                        |
| <b>Project No:</b> 16718  | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Gateway National Recreation Area |                        |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast  | <b>Congressional District:</b> 09                           | <b>State:</b> New York |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project provides for the demolition and removal of an existing unsafe and unhealthy visitor center and administrative trailer and construction of a new environmental education/visitor orientation and administrative facility. The new facility design would incorporate sustainable design principles to emphasize the landscape of the Jamaica Bay Wildlife Refuge. The roof of the structure would serve as a platform for visitors to view the tidal marshes and islands of Jamaica Bay and space below would be earth-sheltered for energy-savings and efficient visitor and staff operations. The new Wildlife Refuge Environmental Education Facility would provide environmental education programs focusing on environmental restoration, resource protection and the appreciation of biodiversity. New exhibitry, an art library, office space and a meeting facility would support the Jamaica Bay Wildlife Refuge as a landmark example of environmental restoration.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The result of neglect and initial poor designs, the present facility and administrative trailer are practically uninhabitable and unsafe. The present structures are totally inadequate to safely meet the needs of the park. 400,000 people visit the Refuge annually. Inherited with the park in 1972, the visitor center was designed as a maintenance facility and subsequently was adapted by the park for interpretation, visitor orientation, environmental education, maintenance, and ranger operations. Circulation throughout the area is ill defined and hazardous with park equipment and vehicles frequently crossing paths with the visiting public. The crowded entryway prevents creation of a full service cooperating association bookstore. Crowding of students, the general public and staff within the visitor center severely impacts everyone's experience. Twenty-year-old trailers that are poorly lit, poorly ventilated, poorly heated, and poorly secured serve as primary office space to support all operations. The poor insulation and inefficient heating system makes the visitor center often too cold and drafty to be used for programs in the winter. Both restrooms and the trail system are not accessible.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| 50% Critical Health or Safety Deferred               | 20% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  |
| 30% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement    | 0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 0% Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement  |  |

|   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| <b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Required:</b> YES: NO: x | <b>Total Project Score:</b> 850 |
|---|---------------------------------|

***Project Costs and Status***

|                               |              |     |                                 |             |                  |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> |              |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b> |             |                  |
|                               | \$'s         | %   |                                 |             |                  |
| Deferred Maintenance Work :   | \$ 3299000   | 100 | Appropriated to Date:           | \$          | 0                |
| Capital Improvement Work:     | \$           |     | Requested in FY 2003 Budget:    | \$3,299,000 |                  |
| Total Project Estimate:       | \$ 3299000   | 100 | Required to Complete Project:   | \$          | 0                |
| Class of Estimate:            | C            |     | Project Total:                  | \$3,299,000 |                  |
| Estimate Good Until:          | 09/30/02     |     |                                 |             |                  |
| Dates:                        | <u>Sch'd</u> |     |                                 |             | Unchanged Since  |
| (qtr/yy)                      |              |     | Project Data Sheet              |             | Departmental     |
| Construction Start/Award      | 1 / 2003     |     | Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02  |             | Approval:        |
| Project Complete:             | 4 / 2003     |     |                                 |             | YES:    x    NO: |



**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 685                    |
| <b>Planned Funding FY:</b>    | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|   |                                   |  |                        |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate General Grant's Tomb |                                   |  |                        |
| <b>Project No:</b> 80162                                |                                   | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> General Grant National Memorial |                        |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast                                | <b>Congressional District:</b> 08 |  | <b>State:</b> New York |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Funds for this project were appropriated from FY1995 through FY1998. Funds from this project were reprogrammed in November 2001 for NPS environmental impact statement work. The funds requested here would replenish the funds reprogrammed and allow the completion of this project. The General Grant Tomb will be provided with handicapped access, visitor restroom facilities and minor interpretative/visitor contact space, the repair of the upper plaza paving stones, and the restoration of remaining 13 memorial windows. This project will stabilize and rehabilitate the ca. 1909 Overlook Pavilion for use as a visitor contact and restroom facility. The work will entail the structural repair and architectural rehabilitation and restoration of the Pavilions interior and exterior to accommodate a small (200sf) visitor contact station; mechanical room; handicapped access from the Tomb proper across Riverside Drive to the first floor of the Pavilion via a ramp and enclosed lift; construction of two accessible bathrooms. Alternatively, should the land transfer not be successfully concluded the funds would complete the accessibility to the Tomb proper and the remaining paving stone repairs on the upper plaza; provisions for accessibility devices to allow universal access to the Tomb sanctuary and the restoration of the 13 remaining memorial windows.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Grant's Tomb has never had public restrooms. The Tomb is not accessible to wheelchair users. Lack of these facilities has led to numerous complaints over the years. Rehabilitation of the 1910 Pavilion, originally built to provide visitor services, will again make public restrooms available as well creating an accessible visitor information/contact area. This project will also preserve a badly deteriorated historic structure that is of significance to the Riverside Drive Historic District as well as to Grant's Tomb.

**Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 35 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred                | 40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  |
| 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      | 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 0 % Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement   |   |

|  |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| <b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:</b> NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <b>Total Project Score: 685</b> |
|--|---------------------------------|

**Project Costs and Status**

|                                   |             |          |   |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|---|--|--|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>     |             |          | <b>Project Funding History:</b>           |  |  |
|                                   | <b>\$'s</b> | <b>%</b> |   |  |  |
| Deferred Maintenance Work         | \$ 5204000  | 100      | Appropriated to Date: \$ 3,364,000        |  |  |
| Capital Improvement Work:         | \$ 0        | 0        | Requested in FY 2003 Budget: \$ 1,840,000 |  |  |
| Total Project Estimate:           | \$ 5204000  | 100      | Required to Complete Project: \$ 0        |  |  |
| Class of Estimate: C              |             |          | Project Total: \$ 5,204,000               |  |  |
| Estimate Good Until: 09/30/02     |             |          |   |  |  |
| <b>Dates:</b> <u>Sch'd</u>        |             |          |   |  |  |
| (qtr/yy)                          |             |          |   |  |  |
| Construction Start/Award 1 / 2003 |             |          | Project Data Sheet                        |  |  |
| Project Complete: 4 / 2003        |             |          | Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02            |  |  |
|                                   |             |          | Unchanged Since                           |  |  |
|                                   |             |          | Departmental                              |  |  |
|                                   |             |          | Approval:                                 |  |  |
|                                   |             |          | YES: x NO:                                |  |  |

## PENNSYLVANIA (NER)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units    | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                      | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 09,12 Allegheny Portage Railroad NHS | 1,984                  | 2,012              | 20                              | -8                            | 2,024               |
| 00 Appalachian NST                   | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 10,15 Delaware Water Gap NRA         | 7,988                  | 8,124              | 99                              | -42                           | 8,181               |
| 01 Edgar Allan Poe NHS               | 364                    | 371                | 5                               | -2                            | 374                 |
| 19 Eisenhower NHS                    | 1,036                  | 1,051              | 10                              | -4                            | 1,057               |
| 12 Fort Necessity NB                 | 1,223                  | 1,245              | 14                              | -7                            | 1,252               |
| 20 Friendship Hill NHS               | 395                    | 404                | 8                               | -3                            | 409                 |
| 19 Gettysburg NMP                    | 5,069                  | 5,177              | 68                              | -29                           | 5,216               |
| 01 Gloria Dei Church NHS             | 32                     | 32                 | 0                               | 0                             | 32                  |
| 06,16 Hopewell Furnace NHS           | 1,015                  | 1,036              | 13                              | -5                            | 1,044               |
| 01,03 Independence NHP               | 15,180                 | 15,918             | 153                             | 2,589                         | 18,660              |
| 12 Johnstown Flood Natl Memorial     | 691                    | 699                | 7                               | -3                            | 703                 |
| 10 Steamtown NHS                     | 4,931                  | 5,023              | 60                              | -25                           | 5,058               |
| 01 Thaddeus Kosciuszko Natl Memorial | 138                    | 139                | 0                               | 0                             | 139                 |
| 10 Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River | 2,622                  | 2,658              | 24                              | -11                           | 2,671               |
| 07,13 Valley Forge NHP               | 5,644                  | 5,897              | 62                              | -26                           | 5,933               |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **PENNSYLVANIA**

### **Independence National Historical Park, Pennsylvania (Counter-Terrorism) \$2,654,000 to Enhance Security for Counter-Terrorism**

Funding is requested to enhance security at Independence National Historical Park. Two of the nation's greatest icons, Independence Hall and the Liberty Bell, are situated in a densely populated urban environment and less than 100 feet from city streets. National Park Service provides law enforcement protection for all of the several sites that comprise Independence National Historical Park. Nearby, Blocks 2 and 3 of Independence Mall are being developed and this will increase the numbers of structures and visitors in the vicinity. Funding would be used to contract screening/magnetometer operations and to further increase law enforcement presence on the revitalized Independence Mall. This request would increase visitor safety and protection of national treasures.

## PENNSYLVANIA (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

| <u>Park Area</u>     | <u>Type of Project</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Hopewell Furnace NHS | Ongoing Study          |
| Valley Forge NHP     | Ongoing Study          |

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

| <u>Park Area</u>       | <u>Remarks</u> | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Delaware Water Gap NRA | 461 acres      | \$5,000      |
| Valley Forge NHP       | 72 acres       | \$2,000      |

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

| <u>Park Area</u> | <u>Type of Project</u>         | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Independence NHP | Independence Square site rehab | \$4,923      |

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

| <u>Park Area</u>       | <u>Project Title</u>      | <u>Funds</u> |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Delaware Water Gap NRA | Rehab Route 209, phase II | \$1,500      |

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$1,006

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$5,054

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

---

Program or Park Area: **Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2003): Priority No. 10

Location: In the New Jersey-New York Watershed

States/Counties/Congressional Districts:

State of New Jersey/Sussex and Warren Counties/ Congressional District No. 5

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania/Monroe, Northampton and Pike Counties/Congressional District Nos. 10, 15

Land Acquisition Limitation Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.060 million

| Date                | Acres | Total Amount   |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|
| FY 2003 Request     | 461   | <b>\$5,000</b> |
| Future Funding Need | 1,419 | \$7,000        |

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential

Description: Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area was authorized September 1, 1965, to provide outdoor recreational use of the area and to preserve the scenic, scientific, and historic features contributing to public enjoyment of such lands.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: Located within easy driving distance of a population estimated at 30 million, Delaware Water Gap provides needed open space and recreational opportunities such as swimming, fishing, boating, camping, picnicking, and hiking. Within the boundary, there are over 70 structures on or determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.

Threat: Although acquisition will generally follow the priorities established in the area's land protection plan, first consideration will continue to be the acquisition of hardship tracts as required by law. The prevention of imminent development is the second protection priority due to the proximity of the area to major urban centers.

Need: Funds totaling \$5,000,000 are needed in fiscal year 2003 to acquire a 461.03-acre tract containing a golf course, an historic inn, and guest cottages. The property has been owned by the same family for over 150 years. The property features rolling, groomed lawn and naturalized areas, with frontage on two roads. A road on the property leads to a popular scenic overlook known as "The Knob". During Congressional hearings on the enabling legislation for the national recreation area, Congress declared its intent that, so long as the property was used as a golf course and the surrounding land preserved as open space, the United States would make no attempt to acquire the property. The elderly owners now need to sell and would prefer to see the property acquired by the United States to benefit the national recreation area. Because of intense development occurring in the surrounding area, Federal acquisition and protection of the tracts is a high priority of the National Park Service. *Acquisition of these lands will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources and to Goal IIa Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

### **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The Service has maintained regular contact with the landowner. The local community, aware of the intense development occurring in the area, strongly supports acquisition of this unique property by the National Park Service.

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

---

Program or Park Area: **Valley Forge National Historical Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2003): Priority No. 9

Location: Southeastern Pennsylvania

State/County/Congressional District: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania/Chester and Montgomery Counties/Congressional District Nos. 7, and 13

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: \$3.983 million

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.365 million.

| Date                | Acres | Total Amount   |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|
| FY 2003 Request     | 72    | <b>\$2,000</b> |
| Future Funding Need | 217   | \$14,000       |

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Formerly a nursery of ornamental plants; currently a development plan is being considered.

Description: In considering the authorizing legislation of 1976, which defined the purpose of the park, the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs noted in House Report No.94-1142, May 14, 1976 that; " the restoration and strengthening of the historic integrity of the Valley Forge site should be the first priority for any Federal management of the area. The Committee expects the Secretary to take early and positive steps, once the National Park Service assumes operational responsibilities, to manage the park with increased emphasis on the restoration and maintenance of the historic scene. Nonconforming recreational uses are to be phased down or relocated. Non-historic technological intrusions such as grass mowing are to be eliminated where possible and appropriate, and the rerouting or elimination of inappropriate and unsafe roadways is to be undertaken, as it is possible. "

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park contains General Washington's headquarters, original earthworks, a variety of monuments and markers, reconstructed log buildings, and replica cannon.

Threat: Acquisition is to follow the priorities established in the park's Land Protection Plan to protect land and structures that comprise the historic scene. The park sits at a major transportation hub, is trisected by state roads, a railroad corridor, and is utilized as a daily commuter route. Development is proposed for this site, which would negatively impact the cultural and natural resources of the property.

Need: The property contains most of the 18<sup>th</sup> Century Waggon seller farm and is located in an area that had been occupied by the Continental Army during its encampment at Valley Forge in 1777-1778. Cultural resources, both above and below ground, would be impacted by any development on this site. The loss of a cultural resource that is one of the very few remaining 18<sup>th</sup> Century agrarian landscapes in the Valley Forge area would be unfortunate. A major east coast developer is proposing to develop the site with residences, thereby negatively impacting the National Historical Park. *Acquisition of these lands will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources and to Goal IIa Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The local community wishes to prevent additional demands on its infrastructure and has expressed support for NPS acquisition that would remove this threat. The local Congressperson has expressed interest in seeing this land under the NPS management. Communication

### **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

with all parties has been ongoing for over ten years, and the Trustees who own the land see value as an impediment.



**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 880                    |
| <b>Planned Funding FY:</b>    | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|   |  |                            |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Independence Square Site Rehabilitation |  |                            |
| <b>Project No:</b> 9626                                       | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Independence National Historical Park |                            |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast                                      | <b>Congressional District:</b> 01                                | <b>State:</b> Pennsylvania |

***Project Justification***

**Project Description:** Independence Square is a landscaped city block that was set aside as a public garden and walkway 260 years ago. Located on Independence Square are the nation's most historically significant buildings - Independence Hall, Old City Hall, Congress Hall, and American Philosophical Hall. The importance of both the buildings and landscape spaces on Independence Square is recognized in the park's enabling legislation. The World Heritage Convention designated Independence Hall and the surrounding Independence Square as a World Heritage Site in 1979. The purpose of this project is to address several longstanding conditions on Independence Square that threaten the historical integrity of the property, including rehabilitation of its brick retaining wall; its site drainage system; its irrigation system; its walkways and sidewalk; its lighting system; and its landscaping. It is proposed to make Independence Square handicapped accessible, as well as install wayside exhibits that describe the significant events that occurred on the Square in the founding of our nation.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Independence Square has a high priority for rehabilitation because of the national and international significance of the historical events that occurred there; the significant safety hazards that its conditions present to visitors; the deteriorated conditions of its historical elements; and the impact of the Square's deteriorated conditions on the operational efficiency of the park. Independence NHP receives 5 million visitors each year, many of whom visit Independence Square. The tripping hazards that are present on the Square due to sink holes, earth movement and differential settling patterns, are a hazard to park visitors and pedestrians and have resulted in tort claims filed against the park. In order to maintain the historical integrity of the Square and to make it safe for visitors use, the rehabilitation and replacement of the Square's bluestone walkways and sidewalks is proposed. Due to the deterioration of the major systems and elements on the Square, their rehabilitation is proposed, including its brick retaining wall, its site drainage system, and its irrigation system. The rehabilitation and replanting of the trees, shrubs, and lawns that are currently in a state of decline is proposed. The rehabilitation of the existing historic reproduction light fixtures as well as the possible addition of new fixtures is proposed. The replacement and repair of the Square's site furnishings including chains and bollards will also be done. The installation of new wayside exhibits will help to present the park's story to the park's many visitors. Handicap access to Independence Square will also be upgraded.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 60 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred                | 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance   |
| 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      | 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 40 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 0 % Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement   |   |

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: ☒ **Total Project Score:** 880

**Project Costs and Status**

|                               |              |     |   |              |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|---|--------------|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> |              |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b>                     |              |
| Deferred Maintenance Work :   | \$ 4923000   | 100 | Appropriated to Date:                               | \$ 0         |
| Capital Improvement Work:     | \$ 0         | 0   | Requested in FY 2003 Budget:                        | \$ 4,923,000 |
| Total Project Estimate:       | \$ 4923000   | 100 | Required to Complete Project:                       | \$ 0         |
| Class of Estimate:            | C            |     | Project Total:                                      | \$ 4,923,000 |
| Estimate Good Until:          | 09/30/02     |     |   |              |
| <b>Dates:</b><br>(qtr/yy)     | <b>Sch'd</b> |     | <b>Project Data Sheet</b><br>Prepared/Last Updated: | 2/10/02      |
| Construction Start/Award      | 1 / 2003     |     | Unchanged Since<br>Departmental<br>Approval:        |              |
| Project Complete:             | 4 / 2003     |     | YES: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO:        |              |

## RHODE ISLAND

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 01 Roger Williams Natl Memorial   | 346                    | 354                | 5                               | -2                            | 357                 |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **RHODE ISLAND**

(dollars in thousands)

### **PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$535

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,491

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## VERMONT

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 00 Appalachian NST                | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 00 Marsh-Billings-Rockefeller NHP | 1,576                  | 1,768              | 13                              | -6                            | 1,775               |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **VERMONT**

(dollars in thousands)

### **PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$488

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,271

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## VIRGINIA (NER)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units     | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                       | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 00 Appalachian NST                    | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 05 Appomattox Court House NHP         | 1,246                  | 1,268              | 16                              | -7                            | 1,277               |
| 01 Assateague Island NS               | 3,142                  | 3,220              | 52                              | -22                           | 3,250               |
| 05 Booker T Washington NM             | 684                    | 698                | 8                               | -4                            | 702                 |
| 01,02,03 Colonial NHP                 | 5,196                  | 5,288              | 62                              | -27                           | 5,323               |
| 01,07 Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP | 3,475                  | 3,538              | 43                              | -18                           | 3,563               |
| 01 George Washington Birthplace NM    | 1,096                  | 1,122              | 17                              | -7                            | 1,132               |
| 03 Maggie L Walker NHS                | 552                    | 560                | 7                               | -3                            | 564                 |
| 03,04 Petersburg NB                   | 2,419                  | 2,466              | 31                              | -13                           | 2,484               |
| 03,07 Richmond NBP                    | 2,223                  | 2,457              | 24                              | -10                           | 2,471               |
| 06,07,10 Shenandoah NP                | 10,253                 | 10,488             | 168                             | -72                           | 10,584              |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **VIRGINIA (NER)**

(dollars in thousands)

### **PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

| <b><u>Park Area</u></b>   | <b><u>Type of Project</u></b> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Appomattox Courthouse NHP | Ongoing Project               |
| Chesapeake Bay Sites      | Ongoing Study                 |
| Shenandoah NP             | Ongoing Project               |

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

| <b><u>Park Area</u></b>         | <b><u>Remarks</u></b> | <b><u>Funds</u></b> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP | 135 acres             | \$1,100             |
| Richmond NBP                    | 369 acres             | \$2,000             |

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

| <b><u>Park Area</u></b>         | <b><u>Type of Project</u></b>          | <b><u>Funds</u></b> |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Colonial NHP                    | Protect Jamestown collections          | \$4,221             |
| Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP | Stabilize historic ruins and resources | \$2,250             |

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

| <b><u>Park Area</u></b> | <b><u>Project Title</u></b>        | <b><u>Funds</u></b> |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Booker T Washington NM  | Overlay visitor center access road | \$138               |
| Petersburg NB           | Overlay Route 500 Tour Road        | \$396               |
| Richmond NBP            | Overlay Chickahominy Bluffs Road   | \$385               |

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$725

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$3,290

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

---

Program or Park Area: **Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania County Battlefields Memorial National Military Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2003): Priority No. 19

Location: Civil War battlefield near the city of Fredericksburg, Virginia

State/County/Congressional District: Commonwealth of Virginia/Caroline, Fredericksburg, Orange, Spotsylvania and Stafford Counties/Congressional District Nos. 1 and 7

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$0.037 million.

| Date                | Acres | Total Amount   |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|
| FY 2003 Request     | 135   | <b>\$1,100</b> |
| Future Funding Need | 820   | \$10,900       |

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential and agricultural

Description: The Act of December 11, 1989 revised the boundary of the park to include an additional 1,300 acres and authorized the appropriation of funds necessary for land acquisition. The act revised the 1974 administrative boundary in accordance with the recommendations of the park's general management plan. The Act of October 27, 1992 revised the boundary to include an additional 560 acres. Section 344 of Public Law 105-83, the act making appropriations for the Department of the Interior for fiscal year 1998, stated the sense of the Senate that "...Congress should give special priority to the preservation of Civil War battlefields by making funds available for the purchase of threatened and endangered Civil War battlefield sites."

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park contains portions of four major Civil War battlefields, Chatham Manor, Salem Church, and the historic building in which Stonewall Jackson died.

Threat: Due to its proximity to Washington, D.C. and Richmond, Virginia, the park is subject to intense pressure for commercial and residential development.

Need: For fiscal year 2003, \$1,100,000 is needed to acquire a 135-acre tract within the park boundary. The elderly owner of the tract is a willing seller and in poor health, making it likely that the tract will be sold on the open market within the next 36 months if not acquired by the United States. Any sale to a non-Federal party is likely to trigger a change in or expansion of land use of the site, which is presently open farmland. Any subdivision or development of the property would constitute a major threat to what is perhaps the park's most important battlefield landscape and vista. The tract is the site of Stonewall Jackson's famous flank attack on May 2, 1863. *Acquisition of these lands will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources, in particular sub-goal 1a7 Preservation of the cultural landscape, and to Goal 1la Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The Service maintains an active, ongoing acquisition program for the park, consistent with the Congressional and Departmental priorities assigned to Civil War battlefield acquisitions. The Central Virginia Battlefields Trust (CVBT) has been an active partner in protecting lands at Chancellorsville Battlefield. The land acquisition program for the park has received strong support from the local Congressional delegation. The local community recognizes the economic benefits of the park and continues to support its presence and planned acquisition efforts.



## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2003 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

---

Program or Park Area: **Richmond National Battlefield Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY03): Priority No. 20

Location: In and around the city of Richmond, Virginia

State/County/Congressional District: Commonwealth of Virginia/Chesterfield, Hanover, Henrico, and Richmond Counties/ Congressional District Nos. 3 and 7

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail: The estimated annual operating costs associated with this acquisition are \$1.575 million.

| Date                | Acres | Total Amount   |
|---------------------|-------|----------------|
| FY 2003 Request     | 369   | <b>\$2,000</b> |
| Future Funding Need | 511   | \$3,000        |

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Residential and agricultural

Description: Originally authorized by Congress in 1936, the Act of November 13, 2000 (P.L. 106-511), established Richmond National Battlefield Park consisting of approximately 7,307 acres of land, as depicted on the map entitled 'Richmond National Battlefield Park Boundary Revision', numbered 367N.E.F.A.80026A, and dated September 2000. The Act authorizes acquisition, by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange, of the minimum interest necessary to achieve the purposes for which the park was established. Acquisition of privately owned lands or interests therein requires the consent of the owner.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park commemorates several battles – Cold Harbor, Chaffin's Farm, Drewry's Bluff, Gaines Mill, Malvern Hill, and Beaver Dam Creek – around Richmond, the Confederate capital.

Threat: Three of the tracts proposed for acquisition comprise an historically significant 245-acre section of a family farm, which has been subdivided and partially sold for immediate development into residential lots. Failure to acquire and protect this section of the farm will make it vulnerable to expansion of the residential development. The remaining tract to be acquired comprises the very best section of the Totopotomoy Creek Civil War battlefield and includes nationally significant cultural resources in the shape of extant earthen fortifications and an historic structure nearly 300 years old.

Need: Funds in the amount of \$2,000,000 are needed in fiscal year 2003 to acquire 4 tracts containing a total of 369.4 acres of land within the boundary of Richmond National Battlefield Park. Acquisition is necessary to prevent incompatible development, protect historic resources, and provide for construction of hiking trails throughout the battlefield. *Acquisition of these lands will contribute to the NPS GPRA Goal 1a Preserve Natural and Cultural Resources, in particular Goal 1a7 Protect the cultural landscape, and to Goal 1la Provide for Visitor Safety and Satisfaction.*

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The Civil War Preservation Trust (CWPT) has a written option declaring its intent to purchase the 245-acre farm section for future conveyance to the United States. The Totopotomoy Battlefield at Rural Plains Foundation (TBRP) has negotiated a purchase of the Totopotomoy tract with the intention of saving it for the park. The owners of the subject tracts are willing sellers. There is no known local or Congressional opposition to these acquisitions.

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 610                    |
| <b>Planned Funding FY:</b>    | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|   |                                   |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Protect Jamestown Collections |                                   |  |  |
| <b>Project No:</b> 16653                            |                                   | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Colonial National Historical Park |  |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast                            | <b>Congressional District:</b> 01 | <b>State:</b> Virginia                                       |  |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Jamestown Island is co-managed and co-owned by the National Park Service (NPS) and the Association for the Preservation of Virginia Antiquities (APVA). Partners, with similar missions, they have jointly researched and interpreted Jamestown Island for 60 years. Each holds a portion of a 1.1-million-item artifact collection specific to Jamestown Island. That was stored together in the basement of the Jamestown Visitor Center. The Visitor Center's basement no longer has capacity for the entire collection and cannot protect the collection from flood and other physical damage. As a result, in 2000, the APVA moved its collection to its own separate facility for safekeeping. This funding request is intended to provide replacement safe storage for the still-endangered NPS portion of the collection. The project would also result in the improvement of access roads to raise settled low points above 500-year floodplain to protect the collection. The phase requested for FY 2003 would build an 8,000 square foot, climate controlled curatorial storage facility. The proposed building would provide conservation, storage and security for the collections and reduce intrusions on the historic landscape. The second phase of this package would repair or replace the current visitor center. The NPS will complete a value analysis to determine the most cost effective option to be requested in the FY 2004 budget.

**Project Need/Benefit:** In 2007, Jamestown Island will host the 400th anniversary of its founding. The 2007 anniversary, and the national and international attention it will bring, requires critical improvements to visitor services, programs and infrastructure. The NPS collection is currently at physical risk; additionally, education, interpretation and research opportunities are severely restricted. Storing the NPS-APVA collections in separate buildings has decreased their interpretive value and made research more difficult. NPS storage no longer meets current museum standards. A nearby window wall decreases security from theft and vandalism and increases risks from hurricanes. The Visitor Center also needs to be upgraded or replaced. A value analysis will be completed to determine the most cost effective approach.

**Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred                 | 30 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  |
| 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      | 0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 70 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 0 % Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement   |   |

|   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| <b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Required:</b> YES: x NO: | <b>Total Project Score:</b> 610 |
|---|---------------------------------|

**Project Costs and Status**

|                               |              |     |   |    |           |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|---|----|-----------|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> |              |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b>         |    |           |
|                               | \$'s         | %   |   |    |           |
| Deferred Maintenance Work :   | \$ TBD       | 100 | Appropriated to Date:                   | \$ | 0         |
| Capital Improvement Work:     | \$ 0         | 0   | Requested in FY 2003 Budget:            | \$ | 4,221,000 |
| Total Project Estimate:       | \$ TBD       | 100 | Required to Complete Project:           | \$ | TBD       |
| Class of Estimate:            | C            |     | Project Total:                          | \$ | TBD       |
| Estimate Good Until:          | 09/30/02     |     |   |    |           |
| <b>Dates:</b>                 | <b>Sch'd</b> |     | <b>Unchanged Since</b>                  |    |           |
| (qtr/yy)                      |              |     | <b>Departmental</b>                     |    |           |
| Construction Start/Award      | 1 / 2003     |     | <b>Approval:</b>                        |    |           |
| Project Complete:             | 4 / 2004     |     | <b>YES: NO: x</b>                       |    |           |
|                               |              |     | <b>Project Data Sheet</b>               |    |           |
|                               |              |     | <b>Prepared/Last Updated:</b> 2/10/2002 |    |           |

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance****National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

|                               |                        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Project Score/Ranking:</b> | 580                    |
| <b>Funding FY:</b>            | 2003                   |
| <b>Funding Source:</b>        | Line Item Construction |

**Project Identification**

|  |   |                        |
|--|---|------------------------|
| <b>Project Title:</b> Stabilize Historic Ruins And Resources |   |                        |
| <b>Project No:</b> 21686                                     | <b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Fredericksburg and Spottsylvania County Battlefields Memorial National Military Park |                        |
| <b>Region:</b> Northeast                                     | <b>Congressional District:</b> 07   | <b>State:</b> Virginia |

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project would stabilize and rehabilitate park resources of four major Civil War battles. Work will consist of the following: 1) Stabilize the ruins of 9 historic structures and research and preserve the archeological and physical history records of the structures. 2) Clear brush and stabilize representative earthwork sections near the Harrison house ruins. 3) Clear historic fields to reopen fields of fire and vistas which figured in 1862-1864 battles in the vicinity of the structures. 4) Rehab Fredericksburg National Cemetery by reseeding terraces, rebuilding 1860's brick wall; replanting screening and refurbishing 7,000 gravestones that cover 15,000 Union dead. 5) Rehab the 1862-63 setting in Sunken road and stone wall at the Fredericksburg Battlefield by investigating remains of both with archaeology and then stabilizing the historic structures. 6) Make all ruins, structures, and landmarks visible to the public and interpret them with exhibits and other devices. All work components are complete projects that will require no additional follow-up work.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The historic structures in the park are essential to the visitors' understanding of the historic events that are being commemorated. The project will eliminate threats to cultural resources by stabilizing non-renewable historic objects. The project will provide visitor services and educational opportunities by making accessible vistas across historic fields, houses ruins, monuments, and original entrenchments as the visual focus--a point of departure--for visitors trying to understand the events which made these sites of national importance. It is imperative that these remedial measures be taken promptly to save the important historic resources of this park.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred                 | 40% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance  |
| 0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      | 0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance |
| 60% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance | 0% Other Capital Improvement               |
| 0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement   |  |

|   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| <b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Required:</b> YES: NO: x | <b>Total Project Score:</b> 580 |
|---|---------------------------------|

***Project Costs and Status***

|                                   |            |     |                                 |    |           |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|---------------------------------|----|-----------|
| <b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>     |            |     | <b>Project Funding History:</b> |    |           |
|                                   | \$'s       | %   |                                 |    |           |
| Deferred Maintenance Work :       | \$ 2250000 | 100 | Appropriated to Date:           | \$ | 0         |
| Capital Improvement Work:         | \$ 0       |     | Requested in FY 2003 Budget:    | \$ | 2,250,000 |
| Total Project Estimate:           | \$ 2250000 | 100 | Required to Complete Project:   | \$ | 0         |
| Class of Estimate: C              |            |     | Project Total:                  |    |           |
| Estimate Good Until: 09/30/02     |            |     | \$ 2,250,000                    |    |           |
| <b>Dates:</b> <u>Sch'd</u>        |            |     |                                 |    |           |
| (qtr/yy)                          |            |     |                                 |    |           |
| Construction Start/Award 1 / 2003 |            |     | Project Data Sheet              |    |           |
| Project Complete: 4 / 2003        |            |     | Prepared/Last Updated: 2/10/02  |    |           |
|                                   |            |     | Unchanged Since                 |    |           |
|                                   |            |     | Departmental                    |    |           |
|                                   |            |     | Approval:                       |    |           |
|                                   |            |     | YES: x NO:                      |    |           |

## WEST VIRGINIA (NER)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

| Congress'l<br>District Park Units | (dollars in thousands) |                    |                                 |                               |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | FY 2001<br>Enacted     | FY 2002<br>Enacted | FY 2003<br>Uncontrol<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Program<br>Changes | FY 2003<br>Estimate |
| 00 Appalachian NST                | 893                    | 1,042              | 5                               | -2                            | 1,045               |
| 03 Bluestone NSR                  | 68                     | 68                 | 0                               | 0                             | 68                  |
| 02,03 Gauley River NRA            | 234                    | 235                | 1                               | -1                            | 235                 |
| 03 New River Gorge National River | 5,902                  | 6,610              | 74                              | -32                           | 6,652               |

For FY 2003, Program Changes include increases contained in park operations and for counter-terrorism activities. Program Changes are reduced for travel and associated costs by implementing management reforms to achieve savings.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **WEST VIRGINIA**

### **Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park; District of Columbia, Maryland and West Virginia**

#### **\$674,000 to Protect Park Resources and Visitors**

Funding is requested to expand the park's visitor and resource protection program. Urbanization of surrounding areas has created an urgent need for additional law enforcement capability to combat increasing threats to the park's 1,275 historic structures, 220 archeological sites, and unique natural and cultural resources. Incidents detected annually include encroachments, disturbances to archeological sites, vandalism, poaching, and dumping. Funding would be used to increase patrol frequency of park areas, including river patrols. Increased patrols would improve visitor safety and resource protection.

## WEST VIRGINIA (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

New River Gorge NR

**Type of Project**

Ongoing Project

#### LAND ACQUISITION

None

#### CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

#### PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$592

#### STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,574

(Does not include \$48,600,000 for Cooperative Conservation Initiative, which will be distributed to the states through national competition.)